International Journal of Interdisciplinary Approaches in Psychology (IJIAP)

Vol. 3, Issue 1 Special Issue: The Startup Generation: Shaping Futures Through Psychology and Business

ISSN: 2584-0142

ISSN: 2584-0142

PSYCHOPEDIA JOURNALS

International Journal of Interdisciplinary Approaches in Psychology (IJIAP)

Psychological Perspective of White-Collar Crimes

[1]Anjali Gautam

Bachelor's Student, Department of Biotechnology, Invertis University, Bareilly, U.P.

anjalig.1162@gmail.com

[2]Riddhi Piplani

Bachelor's Student, Department of Biotechnology, Invertis University, Bareilly, U.P.

riddhipiplani2019@gmail.com

[3]Priyanka Soni

Assistant Professor, NIMS School of Forensic Sciences, NIMS University, Jaipur, Rajasthan.

sonipresearch@gmail.com

[4]Rajat Singh

Assistant Professor, Department of Biotechnology, Invertis University, Bareilly, U.P.

rajatsinghresearch@gmail.com

Abstract: White-collar offenses, such as fraud, embezzlement, and corporate malfeasance, are frequently motivated by psychological influences that guide offenders' risk-taking, ethical decision-making, and rationalizations for their behaviours. This chapter examines the fearless attitude in white-collar crime using psychological theories like risk perception, moral disengagement, and the dark triad of personality characteristics—narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy. Corporate criminals tend to have low fear of sanctions, high self-efficacy, and cognitive rationalizations that dilute moral culpability. Rational choice theory describes the way such criminals balance punishment and reward, whereas social learning theory highlights corporate culture as a contributor to unethical behaviour. Cognitive dissonance theory uncovers how offenders justify their actions against personal values, diluting guilt and fear. Understanding these psychological processes is vital for designing successful fraud prevention practices, ethical corporate governance guidelines, and intervention programs. By combining criminological and psychological understandings, this chapter sheds light on the fearless orientations that underlie corporate offenders' behaviours and provides organizations

and regulators with directions on how to craft anticipatory strategies to counter white-collar crime. A deeper understanding of the behaviour can result in greater detection mechanisms, more robust legal frameworks, and a culture of ethical responsibility within corporate settings.

Keywords: *White-collar crime, fearless mindset, rational choice theory, social learning theory, moral disengagement, corporate fraud*

1. Introduction

White collar crimes are such crimes that are non-violent in nature. White-collar crimes are executed primarily by high-class businessmen and government professionals, they involve deceiving and fraud tricking people for financial gain. The classic image associated with white-collar crime includes individuals in elite corporate offices who are involved in schemes that are particularly in contrast with traditional forms of crime, such as robbery. Several examples of white-collar crimes are presented, including insider trading, embezzlement, cybercrime, copyright infringement, and money laundering. White collar crimes are non-violent in nature, i.e., they do not harm people physically but tend to give a mental and psychological stress by stealing loads of amounts of money from them using fraudulent methods. White collar crimes often trick the public and society by tricking into believing in short term high financial gain through unethical practices like fraud and embezzlement.

1.2 *The psychological concept of a fearless mindset in corporate offenders*

White collar crime offenders tend to remain fearless as they have psychologically built up in their brains that they have the ultimate supreme power to lure common people in their tricks and not get caught. These people tend to rationalise their actions and behaviours by believing their supremacy that whatever they are doing is business. They tend to believe in their ideology that it's the irrational and thoughtless decisions that they fall prey to their manipulative techniques. They believe in their ideology that everyone executes irrational ways to get what they want. White collar crime officials often exploit their social group relations to escape any enquiry and punishments

It can be possible with the integration of psychological theories along with criminological models so that more effective explanations of criminal behaviour can be given, considering social as well as individual factors (Krohn & Eassey, 2014). Criminal thinking is the basic element from which various variables of risk may be integrated along with enhancing the understanding of criminal behavior (Walters, 2019).

1.3 Psychological Theories Explaining the Mindset in White-Collar Crime

1.3.1 ***Rational Choice Theory***: Risk-reward assessment in business crimes. White-collar crime's fearless mentality can be explained from both an economic and psychological standpoint, especially when considering rational choice theory. Rational choice theory states that individuals participate in illicit actions by balancing the risks and rewards. This evaluation is impacted by elements like psychopathy, the need for control, and the need for bravery in white-collar crime.

1.3.2 ***Psychopathy and the Propensity for Risk-Taking*****:** People who are psychopathic may react abnormally to unfavourable outcomes, which makes them more willing to take risks in high-reward scenarios. According to the conventional prospect theory, people are often loss-averse, although this is not the case (Prichard & McKee, 2023). Potential rewards drive these people to act recklessly in business settings, as seen by well-publicized corporate scandals (Prichard & McKee, 2023).

People's interpretations of risks and rewards are influenced by their desire for control. As people try to exert control over their surroundings, this psychological feature may increase the risk of committing corporate crime (Piquero et al., 2005). Even after controlling for other situational and personal factors, research shows a favourable correlation between this motivation and corporate criminality (Piquero et al., 2005).

Risk-reward trade-offs are a common feature of important life decisions, including those in business, and they can be seen as brave. People may take more risks because they want to be perceived as brave (Gal & Rucker, 2021). These situations are like those of white-collar offenders in that the pursuit of risk is motivated by a mix of fear, purpose, and agency (Gal & Rucker, 2021).

Although these elements support a fearless attitude in white-collar crime, it's crucial to consider the larger social and economic environments that support such conduct. These crimes thrive because of lax oversight and the growing complexity of economic institutions, indicating that tackling these systemic problems is essential to reducing white-collar crime (Leap, 2007; Shover & Hochstetler, 2007).

1.3.3 ***Social Learning Theory***: Influence of corporate culture and peer behaviour. According to the social learning hypothesis, white-collar offenders are greatly influenced by peer behaviour and business culture. Individuals may adopt criminal actions seen in their workplace if there is a permissive ethical context and opportunity for rule-bending (Taştan, 2019).

1.3.4 ***Corporate Culture's Impact***

Subterranean Values: The idea of subterranean values, which promote lying to obtain benefits, is one example of how the competitive nature of corporate culture can produce values that may excuse immoral action (Benson & Cullen, 2017).

Normalisation of Deviance: When unethical behavior is seen among peers, it may be embraced as the norm, creating a vicious cycle of wrongdoing (Leap, 2007).

2. Social Learning and Peer Behaviour

2.1 ***Modelling Behaviour*****:** Workers frequently imitate the conduct of their managers or coworkers, especially in settings where unethical behaviour is common. A communal mindset that disregards ethical issues may result from this modelling (Leap, 2007). Employees may fear consequences for disclosing unethical actions, which can further entrench these behaviours and perpetuate a culture of complicity and silence. This is known as psychological safety (Nemanick, 2022).

2.2 ***Cognitive Dissonance Theory***: Justifications and moral disengagement in unethical decision-making. Moral detachment makes it possible for people to defend immoral behaviour, which increases immoral decision-making, especially for people with dark personality features. This is consistent with the cognitive dissonance theory, which holds that explanations lessen internal conflict about immoral behaviour (Travis et al., 2024).

Cognitive dissonance theory explains that individuals may experience discomfort when their actions conflict with their beliefs. To alleviate this tension, they may justify unethical decisions or disengage morally, allowing them to maintain a fearless mindset in white-collar crime (Cooper, 2012).

2.3 ***Cognitive Dissonance and Rationalization*****:** People who experience cognitive dissonance may justify their unethical behaviour, which over time may intensify into a habit of ever-increasing unethical behaviour. On the other hand, when faced with moral quandaries, people who possess fewer of these characteristics might behave less unethically (Travis et al., 2024).

2.4 ***Moral Disengagement Mechanisms*****:** Self-regulatory processes can be deactivated by cognitive techniques that are involved in the process of moral disengagement. Therefore, this approach allows people to act unethically without feeling guilty (Detert et al., 2008). Increased moral disengagement is linked to elements like less empathy and increased cynicism. The tendency to take unethical actions is directly correlated with this condition of disengagement (Detert et al., 2008).

3. Personality Traits and White-Collar Crime

3.1 *The Dark Triad: Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and Psychopathy*

White-collar crime (WCC) and the Dark Triad personality features—psychopathy, Machiavellianism, and narcissism—exhibit a multifaceted and intricate connection. Empirical studies indicate that narcissism and psychopathy have a strong influence on the probability of engaging in WCC, but Machiavellianism has less influence. Narcissism is strongly linked with an increased tendency to engage in white-collar crimes (WCC). This is due to some of the traits of narcissism, such as a deficiency in empathy and a sense of entitlement. Such traits, by Falni and Helmayunita (2024), could make individuals justify immoral acts for personal gain (Amos et al., 2022). Individuals with high narcissism tend to have an evident preference for status and power. They are more apt to engage in fraud due to this trait (Amos et al., 2022). Impulsivity and absence of remorse are characteristics of psychopathy, which is also associated with a greater risk of engaging in simpler forms of WCC, such as embezzlement (Karandikar & Jones, 2023). With their impulsive nature, individuals with psychopathy can engage in more unethical actions by making others avoidable risks (Karandikar & Jones, 2023; Hardyns et al., 2022).

3.2 *Impact of Machiavellianism*

Contrary to expectation, some studies observed no considerable effect of Machiavellianism on the likelihood of committing WCC, revealing that its strategic use might not always lead to criminality in this case (Falni & Helmayunita, 2024). It is observed, on the other hand, that individuals with high Machiavellianism could prefer more complex WCCs, such as antitrust violations, proving a sophisticated relationship with criminality (Karandikar & Jones, 2023).

A jungle image of society, weaker restraints brought on by perceived moral uncertainty, and justifications that victims are morally responsible are psychological elements that contribute to white-collar crime. These factors also encourage a fearless mindset and ethical blindness in business misbehaviour (Stotland, 1977).

Numerous psychological theories that emphasize impulsivity, ethical blindness, and the incentives of these offenders can help explain the fearless mentality seen in white-collar crime. Due to several situational and psychological variables, this mindset is frequently characterized by a lack of fear over the consequences of their actions.

3.3 *Impulsivity and Psychological Characteristics*

Arrogance, risk-taking, and manipulative tendencies are examples of psychopathic traits that many white-collar offenders display. These traits help explain their fearless attitude to crime (Merzagora et al., 2014). Heuristics and cognitive biases can cause criminals to overestimate their chances of success and underestimate hazards, which can result in rash decisions (Dearden, 2019).

3.4 ***Moral Ambiguity and Ethical Blindness***

Moral Perception: White-collar offenders frequently believe that their acts are morally dubious, which lessens the ethical restraints placed on them. By considering victims to be morally responsible, they can justify their actions (Stotland, 1977).

Culture of the Organization: Employee unethical behaviour can be further encouraged by a weak ethical context in the workplace, which can lead to possibilities for rule-bending (Taştan, 2019).

4. Reasons for Criminal Conduct

Desire for Superiority: According to Stotland (1977), many criminals are motivated by a sense of superiority and peer adoration, which might take precedence over moral considerations.

Avoidance of Threats: People may resort to white-collar crime as a kind of self-preservation if they fear losing their financial stability or position (Stotland, 1977).

Although these psychological aspects shed light on the bold mentality of white-collar offenders, it is important to remember that not everyone in high-stakes situations acts unethically. Human behavior in organizational settings is complicated, as evidenced by the fact that many professionals uphold ethical norms and avoid the temptations that come with their jobs.

4.1 ***Overcoming Ethical Barriers: Psychological Insights for Crime Prevention***

Psychotherapists can lessen their ethical vulnerabilities by having a better knowledge of their clients' motivations, feelings, and values. Professionals can improve ethical conduct by addressing these aspects and building resilience. By doing so, they may indirectly help prevent crime by implementing better ethical practices (Tjeltveit & Gottlieb, 2010).

Psychological Traits: Cognitive-behavioural skills training can help address the key individual variables that influence offending, such as low IQ, impulsivity, and hyperactivity (Farrington, 2017).

Family Dynamics: Criminal conduct is greatly influenced by family factors, including inadequate supervision, broken homes, and criminal histories. Parental participation and discipline can be improved through initiatives like parent education and Functional Family Therapy (Farrington, 2017).

4.2 ***Psychological Pathways to Fraud Prevention Awareness and Education***

Individuals can spot potential fraud situations prior to their occurrence by remaining more cognizant of psychological factors that underlie fraud, including negative affect and reasoning (Murphy & Dacin, 2011).

Employee Empowerment: Allowing employees to have input in designing and implementing anti-fraud policies makes them feel more accountable and responsible, which discourages them from engaging in or missing fraudulent behavior (Bradley, 2015).

Psychological Vulnerabilities Targeting: Tailored anti-fraud responses focusing on message design that resonates with these vulnerabilities can be guided by an understanding of the specific psychological traits that make individuals susceptible to fraud (Norris et al., 2019).

4.3 *Corporate Governance and Behavioural Ethics*

Bounded Ethicality: This concept highlights how cognitive biases can lead good people into unwittingly doing something unethical, suggesting legal reforms that target these biases instead of relying on mere traditional economic theories (Feldman et al., 2020).

Interventions: To overcome prejudices and improve moral decision-making, proposed interventions are to modernize board compositions and reinforce the position of independent directors (Feldman et al., 2020).

4.4 *Accountability and Behavioural Governance*

Quality of Behaviour: The actions of directors and managers directly influence the effectiveness of corporate governance. Improved organizational performance can be achieved by giving a high priority to behavioural accountability (Iwu-Egwuonwu, 2010; Iwu-Egwuonwu, 2011).

Moral Tone: As Iwu-Egwuonwu (2011) states, having a strong moral tone at the top can foster an environment where moral conduct is encouraged, enhancing the overall quality of governance.

5. Conclusion

White-collar crimes, which entail deceiving and fraudulently fooling individuals for financial benefit, tend to be committed by elite businesses and government employees. White collar crimes, which involve unethical practices like fraud and theft, frequently deceive the public as well as society into believing in temporary, significant financial gain. The perpetrators of white-collar crimes are educated individuals who frequently use their knowledge to carry out their schemes while simultaneously taking advantage of their positions to commit fraud. These crimes could result due to intricate psychological factors like moral disengagement, fearless disposition, cognitive rationalizations, personality traits like narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy. Moreover, financial stress, less self-control, unrealistic performance demands, and social learning from the surrounding environment could also lead white collar crimes.

There is a need for accountable organizational cultures, whistleblower, transparency, ethical training, and stronger legal frameworks for prevention of these types of crimes. Further, leaders and people at higher positions or designations should be made to undergo psychological screening. To further explore the nexus between psychology and business crime, future studies ought to explore emerging topics such as the way organizational culture impels morality, the way digital anonymity influences corporate fraud, and the way psychological inclinations to white-collar offenses are dissimilar across cultures.

References

Cooper, J. (2012). *Cognitive dissonance theory* (pp. 377–397). SAGE Publications Inc. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446249215.N19

Dearden, T. E. (2019). How modern psychology can help us understand white-collar criminals. *Journal of Financial Crime*, *26*(1), 61–73. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-11-2017-0103

Farrington, D. P. (2017). *Psychological Contributions to the Explanation and Prevention of Offending*. *3*(1), 31–47. https://doi.org/10.5771/2365-1083-2017-1-31

Feldman, Y., Libson, A., Libson, A., & Parchomovsky, G. (2020). Corporate Law for Good People. *Social Science Research Network*. https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3512377

Iwu-Egwuonwu, R. C. (2010). Behavioral Governance, Accountability and Corporate Governance Quality. *Social Science Research Network*. https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.1653315

Iwu-Egwuonwu, R. C. (2011). Behavioral governance, accounting and corporate governance quality. *Journal of Economics and International Finance*, *3*(1), 1–136. https://doi.org/10.5897/JEIF.9000019

Merzagora, I., Pennati, A., & Travaini, G. (2014). *Psychology and Psychopathology of White collar crime* (pp. 169–177). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-01839-3_20

Murphy, P. R., & Dacin, M. T. (2011). Psychological Pathways to Fraud: Understanding and Preventing Fraud in Organizations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *101*(4), 601–618. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-011-0741-0

Osipyan, N. B. (2024). On the Issue of Taking into Account the Psychological Characteristics of a Cybercriminal's Personality for the Purposes of Preventive Activities. *Viktimologiâ*, *11*(3), 425–433. https://doi.org/10.47475/2411-0590-2024-11-3-425-433

Stotland, E. (1977). White Collar Criminals. *Journal of Social Issues*, *33*(4), 179–196. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1540-4560.1977.TB02531.X

Stotland, E. (1977). White Collar Criminals. *Journal of Social Issues*, *33*(4), 179–196. https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1540-4560.1977.TB02531.X

Taştan, S. (2019). *White-Collar Criminals and Organizational Criminology: Theoretical Perspectives* (pp. 296–322). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-6310-5.CH016

Taştan, S. (2019). *White-Collar Criminals and Organizational Criminology: Theoretical Perspectives* (pp. 296–322). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-6310-5.CH016

Tjeltveit, A. C., & Gottlieb, M. C. (2010). Avoiding the road to ethical disaster: Overcoming vulnerabilities and developing resilience. *Psychotherapy*, *47*(1), 98–110. https://doi.org/10.1037/A0018843

Travis, J., Neale, C. A., & Wilgus, S. (2024). When Dark Personality Gets Darker: The Intersection of Injustice, Moral Disengagement, and Unethical Decision Making. *Merits*, *4*(4), 414–430. https://doi.org/10.3390/merits4040029

Winter, J. W. (2015). *A Behavioral Perspective on Corporate Law and Corporate Governance*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/OXFORDHB/9780198743682.013.17